

**DRAFT** 

## **Bay-Delta Standards**

**DRAFT** 

**Contained in D-1641** 


CRITERIA	Aug 03	Sep 03	Oct 03						
FLOW/OPERATIONAL									
Fish and Wildlife									
SWP/CVP Export Limits									
Export/Inflow Ratio		65%							
Minimum Outflow - mon.	4000 cfs	3000 cfs	4000 cfs						
- 7 day avg.	3000 cfs	2000 cfs	3000 cfs						
Habitat Protection Outflow, X2									
River Flows:									
@ Rio Vista - min. mon. avg.		3000 cfs	4000 cfs						
- 7 day average		2000 cfs	3000 cfs						
@ Vernalis: Base -min. mon. avg.									
- 7 day average									
- Pulse Flow			28 + TAF						
Delta Cross Channel Gates									
WATER QUALITY STANDARDS									
Municipal and Industrial									
All Export Locations		<= 250 mg/L Cl							
Contra Costa Canal		<= 150 mg/L CI for 190 days							
Agriculture									
Western/Interior Delta	14 dm <= 0.45 mS/cm								
Southern Delta	30-day running average EC <= 0.7 mS 30-day running average EC <= 1.0 mS								
Fish and Wildlife									
San Joaquin River Salinity									
Suisun Marsh Salinity			19.0 mS/cm						

Water Year Classification: (May 1 forecast)

SRI (40-30-30 @ 50%) =8.0 (Above Normal)

SJV (60-20-20 @75%) =2.7 (Below Normal)

## **SWP & CVP CY 2003 Forecasted Operations.**



Flows are monthly averages.

## WY 2002/2003 EWA Accounting Summary Based upon July Operations Study - 50% Exceedance Hydrology Assumptions : SWP Allocation - 90%; NOD Purchases - 69.9 TAF; SOD Purchases - 145 TAF EWA NOD and SOD Storage Acquisitions (+) and Releases (-) C/O Oct Nov hal Sep Oct Nov Dec Feb Mar Apr May NOD (Oroville) 20 8 <sup>15</sup> -19 -18 -20 -19 <sup>15</sup> NOD (non-Oroville) 7 3 -0.7 65 <sup>6</sup> -31 <sup>6</sup> -1.6 -4.6 -15 SOD (KCWA) 37 -12 -15 -9 12 <sup>4</sup> 15 <sup>4</sup> SOD (MWD) 2 -30 <sup>4</sup> **EWA Asset Acquisition in SWP San Luis** Mar Jun C/O Oct Nov Dec Jul Sep Oct Nov Dec Aug Total E/I Relaxation 31 <sup>14</sup> 66 35 EWA share of SWP gain Project Pumping to reduce EWA debt JPOD using excess flows JPOD using NOD storage Xfer NOD - Sacramento River 2 8 <sup>15</sup> 25 <sup>6</sup> 21 <sup>6</sup> 55 Xfer NOD - San Joaquin River 2 SOD SWP Surface/GW Purchases 30 <sup>4</sup> 36 <sup>7</sup> 36 <sup>7</sup> 17 7 36 7 162 Exchange of EWA assets Groundwater pumping SOD Exchange from CVP to SWP in SL Total Monthly EWA Assets 61 62 67 45 38 302 0 30 EWA Asset Acquisition in CVP San Luis C/O Oct Nov Dec Mar Jun Jul Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Apr Aug Total E/I Relaxation Project Pumping to reduce EWA debt JPOD using excess flows JPOD using NOD storage 6 12 Xfer NOD - Sacramento River 2 0.5 Xfer NOD - San Joaquin River 2 SOD CVP Surface/GW purchases 20 20 Exchange of EWA assets Groundwater pumping Exchange from SWP to CVP in SL Total Monthly EWA Assets 26 0 EWA Expenditures at the Export Pumps C/O Oct Nov Dec Mar May Jun Jul Aug Feb Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Apr Total SWP export cuts -32 -89 -19 -322 -182 CVP export cuts -26 Total Expenditures 0 0 0 -32 -89 0 0 -19 -208 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 -348 **EWA End-of-Month Incremental Storage Changes** C/O Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Total SWP in SL (without Source Shift) 11 0 0 -32 -89 n 62 -19 67 45 61 38 0 0 0 CVP in SL -6 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 -26 0 0 0 26 0 0 0 NOD Storage (SOD equivalent) 12 21 -1 -1 0 0 Ω 0 Ω 36 -10 -8 -25 -27 0 n Ω -14 37 SOD Storage (non-S.L.) 0 0 0 0 0 0 -30 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total Incremental Storage Changes -1 -31 -19 -172 36 36 0 0 63 -1 -89 0 60 57 37 ٥ EWA End-of-Month Storage Balance at Various Sites C/O Oct Nov Dec Jun .lul Sep Oct Dec Aua Nov SWP in SL (without Source Shift) 11 11 11 -21 -111 -111 -49 -68 -220 -153 -108 -47 -9 -9 -9 -9 0 0 0 CVP SL -26 0 -6 -6 -6 -6 -6 0 0 -26 -26 -26 NOD Storage (SOD equivalent) 12 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 56 46 38 13 -14 -14 -14 -14 SOD Storage (non-S.L.) EWA Asset Balance 63 62 61 30 -18 -190 -133 -60 -23 -23 -23 -59 -59 San Luis Reservoir Storage Conditions May | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan Jun - 1 Jul - 1 1 Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |

,	0/0	001	1404	D00	oun	1 00	iviai	7 (pi	ividy	oun	oui	, lug	ОСР	001	1404	D00
Total Storage (base case) 16		645	783	1037	1554	1856	2007	1897	1686	1406	1052	715	664	644	894	1217
Encroachment																
Total Storage (EWA case)		650	788 0	1010	1438	1740	1958	1829	1440	1227	918	642	655	635	885	1208
MWD Source Shifting															1	
Storage (with MWD source shifting)		650	788	1010	1438	1740	1958	1829	1440	1227	918	642	655	635	885	1208

<sup>2002</sup> NOD Purchases = 135(YCWA) + 10(SGA). 2003 NOD Purchases = 185(YCWA) + 10(OWID). YCWA has firm 55 taf; exercised option for an additional amount - 10 taf.

<sup>\*</sup> The SWP was able to back 20 taf of water for the EWA into Lake Oroville between September 14 and October 6, 2002

<sup>(</sup>which includes a 20% carriage water loss). SOD equivalent = 16 taf (not a 1:1 Exchange).

Aqueduct conveyance and evaporation losses are not included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Carriage water loss applies to water transfers from the Sacramento River, a 10% conveyance loss applies to water transfers from the San Joaquin River.

A carriage water loss of 20% was applied to the 2002 water transfers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 2002 SGA Transfer (CVP place of use). The majority of this asset was used for instream flow benefits. <sup>4</sup>2002 KCWA Transfer (SWP place of use)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 2003 OWID Transfer (Joint place of use) <sup>6</sup> 2003 YCWA Transfer (Joint place of use)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 2003 SOD Transfers (SWP Place of Use) - 125 TAF from KCWA and 20 TAF from SCVWD

<sup>8</sup> About 32 taf was expended for the December portion of the 12/27/02 - 1/2/03 curtailment.

<sup>9</sup> Of this amount, approximately 9.5 taf was expended for the 1/1-1/2/03 portion of the 12/31/02-1/2/03 curtailment; about 60 taf was expended for the 1/15-1/20/03 curtailment; about 20 taf was expended for the 1/25-1/28/03 curtailment...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The VAMP cost is estimated to be about 32 taf for the SWP and is based upon the 4/30 estimate of base flows.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>The cost for VAMP shoulders is about 169 taf for the SWP; and 26 taf for CVP.

<sup>12</sup> Default assumption for C/W is 20%. When NOD storage is released and pumped a post analysis will be performed to calculate actual C/W costs.

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$  The SWP spilled  $\sim$  20 taf of EWA water stored in Oroville during flood control operations.

Like State Space 29-30. Upstream 12taf (5taf OWID+7taf SWP) water released for 9 taf of export.

<sup>15</sup> Early July, ~11 taf was released from Oroville with ~ 9 taf pumped at Banks utilizing the 500 cfs, thereby shifting EWA debt from State S.L. to Oroville. 7/14-7/31 18.9 of YCWA transfer "backed" into Oroville while approval of the water level response plan is still pending.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Based upon the 8/1/2003 DWR's 50% (50% Fall) allocation study.